

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/615,696	DESIN, ANDREW	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Peter Szekely	1714	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Allowed

 (1) Peter Szekely.

(3) _____.

 (2) Edward F. Behm, Jr..

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 17 June 2005
Time: 10:25
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
None.

Claims discussed:
Claims 1 and 16.


Prior art documents discussed:
None.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
The phrase "from about" will be deleted from claims 1 and 16. Claim 1 will be re-written for better clarity.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)